arXiv:1304.1445v1 [math.DS] 4 Apr 2013

DENSITY OF FIBERWISE ORBITS IN MINIMAL ITERATED FUNCTION SYSTEMS

PABLO G. BARRIENTOS, ABBAS FAKHARI, AND ALI SARIZADEH

Abstract. We study the minimality of almost every orbital branch of minimal random iterated function systems (IFSs). We prove that this kind of minimality generically holds for forward and backward minimal IFSs generated by diffeomorphisms on the circle. We provide examples of IFSs where this behavior persists under perturbation of the generators.

1. Introduction

An important objective in the study of dynamical systems is to determine the long-term behavior of the orbits. We consider dynamical systems with several maps on a compact metric space X called *iterated function systems* (IFSs). More precisely, given maps $f_1, \dots, f_k$ of X, we study the action of the semigroup $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ generated by these maps. An orbit of a point x for $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ is a set of points $y = h(x)$ for some $h \in \mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$. The IFS is *minimal* if every orbit is dense in X. Several recent works [4, 3, 14, 6, 1] studied the limit set of the orbits of IFSs and some conditions to guarantee minimality.

A sequence of iterates $x_{n+1} = f_{\omega_n}(x_n)$ with $\omega_n \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ chosen randomly and independently is called *random orbit* starting at $x_0 = x$. The sequence of compositions

$$f^n_\omega = f_{\omega_n} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\omega_1} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

is the *orbital branch* corresponding to $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2 \cdots \in \Sigma^+_k = \{1, \dots, k\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and

$$\mathcal{O}^+_\omega(x) = \{f^n_\omega(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad x \in X.$$

The main result in [4] implies that for each point x, almost every random orbit starting at x of a minimal IFS is dense in X. To be more precise, there exists a set $\Omega(x) \subset \Sigma^+_k$, which depends on the starting point x, having total (Bernoulli) probability such that $\mathcal{O}^+_\omega(x)$ is dense in X for all $\omega \in \Omega(x)$. Hence, a logical question would be whether the set $\Omega(x)$ could be independent of the starting point x. In other words, if almost every orbital branch of a minimal IFS acts minimally, i.e, if the following holds

$$X = \overline{\mathcal{O}^+_\omega(x)} \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ and for almost every } \omega \in \Sigma^+_k. \tag{1.1}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary : 54H20; Secondary : 58F99.

Key words and phrases. minimal IFS, minimality and contraction of orbital branches.

This property means that a minimal IFS acts throughout almost every orbital branch as a dense set. In this paper we study minimal IFSs and give conditions implying (1.1).

The independence of set $\Omega(x)$ to the starting point x is partially studied in [2]. They prove that if the minimal IFS is *strongly-fibred* then $X = \overline{\mathcal{O}^+_\omega(x)}$ for all $x \in X$ and every sequence $\omega \in \Sigma_k^+$ with dense orbit under the Bernoulli shift map. A minimal IFS is strongly-fibred if for every open set U in X there exists $\omega \in \Sigma_k^+$ such that $X_\omega \subset U$ where

$$X_\omega = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}^n_\omega(X), \qquad \hat{f}^n_\omega = f_{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\omega_{n-1}} \circ f_{\omega_n}.$$

Important examples of strongly-fibred minimal IFSs is a family of IFSs that are generated by contraction maps [12]. Meanwhile, an IFS is *weakly hyperbolic* if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(\hat{f}^n_\omega(x), \hat{f}^n_\omega(y)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \Sigma_k^+ \text{ and } x, y \in X.$$

Clearly, minimal weakly-hyperbolic IFSs are also strongly-fibred. In [1] it is shown that an IFS is weakly hyperbolic if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\omega\in\Sigma_k^+} d(f^n_\omega(x), f^n_\omega(y)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \tag{1.2}$$

A point $p \in X$ is a *repelling/attracting periodic point* for an IFS if there exists $h \in \mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ such that p is a repelling/attracting fixed point of h. Weak hyperbolicity prevents the existence of repelling periodic points. A weaker property than (1.2) which allows the existence of repelling periodic points for the IFS is the *contraction of almost every orbital branch*, i.e,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(f^n_\omega(x), f^n_\omega(y)) = 0 \quad \text{for almost every } \omega \in \Sigma_k^+ \text{ and } x, y \in X.$$

Examples of minimal IFSs on the circle satisfying this contracting property can be found in [15, Theorem 1] and [11, Theorem 1]. These examples assume that the IFS is forward and backward minimal and contains a *North-South* Morse-Smale diffeomorphism. Following the ideas in [15], we prove that the condition about the existence of the North-South Morse-Smale diffeomorphism can be improved. In particular, it suffices if the IFS contains *any* Morse-Smale diffeomorphism (see Theorem 2.1). We also show the minimality of almost every orbital branch for these kind of IFSs:

Theorem A. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_k$ be orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of the circle. Assume that $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ and $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1^{-1}, \dots, f_k^{-1})$ are minimal and contain a homomorphism whose non-wandering set consists of finitely many periodic points. Then*

$$S^1 = \overline{\mathcal{O}^+_\omega(x)} \quad \textit{for all } x \in S^1$$

and every $\omega \in \Sigma_k^+$ with dense orbit under the Bernoulli shift map.

Observe that the minimal IFSs in the above theorem are not strongly-fibred because $X_\omega = S^1$ for all $\omega \in \Sigma_k^+$. Then IFSs in this theorem provide new examples of minimal IFSs satisfying (1.1). We also obtain examples of minimal IFSs satisfying the minimality of almost every orbital branch in other compact metric spaces. Namely, we prove that if the IFS contains a minimal homeomorphism then (1.1) always holds (see Proposition 2.5).

The papers [9, 10, 15, 6] provide concrete examples of IFSs satisfying Theorem A. In [6] it is shown that every IFS generated by a pair of Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms C^2-close enough to rotations with no periodic points in common and without ss-intervals (compact intervals whose endpoints are consecutive attracting periodic points of different generators) is forward and backward minimal. Thus, contraction and minimality of almost every orbital branch hold for these. We also obtain the next generalization of the examples in [9, 10, 15]. These are examples of IFSs where the behavior of contraction and minimality of almost every orbital branch coexist and persist under perturbation of the generators.

Theorem B. *Let $g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}(S^1)$ be, respectively, an irrational rotation and an orientation-preserving homeomorphism which is not conjugate to a rotation. Then, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of (g_1, g_2) in $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1) \times \mathrm{Hom}(S^1)$ such that for every $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{U}$,*

$$\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, f_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{IFS}(f_1^{-1}, f_2^{-1})$$

are both minimal iterated function systems.

If g_2 is a C^r-diffeomorphism ($r \geq 1$), there is an open and dense set $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{U}_r = \mathcal{U} \cap (\mathrm{Diff}^r(S^1) \times \mathrm{Diff}^r(S^1))$ such that for every $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{D}$ it holds

- minimality of almost every orbital branch*: $S^1 = \overline{\mathcal{O}_\omega^+(x)}$ for all $x \in S^1$ and $\omega \in \Sigma_k^+$ with dense orbit under the Bernoulli shift map.*
- density of attracting/repelling periodic points*: both, the hyperbolic attracting and the hyperbolic repelling periodic points of* IFS(f_1, f_2) *are dense in S^1,*
- contraction of almost every orbital branch*: for almost every $\omega \in \Sigma_k^+$ there is $z = z(\omega)$ such that*

  $$\lim_{n \to \infty} |f_\omega^n(x) - f_\omega^n(y)| = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S^1 \setminus \{z\},$$

  and for every $z_0 \in S^1$, almost surely $z(\omega) \neq z_0$ with respect to the Bernoulli measure.

Moreover, if g_2 is a Morse-Smale diffeomorphism then we can take $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{U}_r$.

2. CONTRACTION OF ORBITAL BRANCHES: PROOF OF THEOREM A

The following theorem improves the main result of [15]. The novelty in the result is Items (iv) and (v) from which we infer Theorem A. We denote by ν a Bernoulli measure on Σ_k^+.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_1$ be orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of the circle. Assume that*

(1) *$\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ and $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1^{-1}, \dots, f_k^{-1})$ are both minimal,*
(2) *there exists a homeomorphism in $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ whose non-wandering set consists in finitely many periodic points.*

Then,

(i) *there exists a unique stationary measure μ_H for $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$. Moreover, this measure is homeomorphic to the Lebesgue measure μ_L and ergodic,*
(ii) *there exist measurable maps $f^{\pm} : \Sigma_k^+ \to S^1$ such that ν-almost surely*
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (f_{\omega_1}^{\pm 1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\omega_n}^{\pm 1})_* \mu_H = \lim_{n\to\infty} (f_{\omega_1}^{\pm} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\omega_n}^{\pm 1})_* \mu_L = \delta_{f^{\pm}(\omega)},$$
(iii) *ν-almost surely*
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |f_\omega^n(x) - f_\omega^n(y)| = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S^1 \setminus \{f^-(\omega)\}.$$
Moreover, for each $z_0 \in S^1$ ν-almost surely $f^-(\omega) \neq z_0$.
(iv) *for every $\omega \in \Sigma_k^+$ with dense orbit under the Bernoulli shift it holds*
$$S^1 = \overline{\mathcal{O}_\omega^+(x)} \quad \text{for all } x \in S^1,$$
(v) *both, the attracting and the repelling periodic points of $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ are dense in S^1.*

In [15], the authors assume that the IFS contains a North-South Morse-Smale diffeomorphism. This assumption is only used in the proof of Lemma 2 in [15]. The following proposition proves this lemma (see [15, Equation (2)]) under the new assumptions in Theorem 2.1. The rest of the proof of Items (i), (ii) and (iii) is the same as that in [15]. Notice that the ergodicity in Item (i) is an immediate consequence of the uniqueness of the stationary measure (see [13, Cap. I.1.2] or [8, Lemma 2.9]).

Proposition 2.2. *Under the assumption of Theorem 2.1 it holds that: for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $g \in \mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ there are $G \in \mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ and $I \subset S^1$ with $|G| \leq \ell$ and $|I| < \varepsilon$ satisfying that*
$$M\{\mu : \mu\big(G^{-1} \circ g^{-1}(I)\big) > 1 - \varepsilon\} > 1 - \varepsilon.$$
Here M denotes any measure in the space of probability measures on $\mathscr{M}(S^1)$, where $\mathscr{M}(S^1)$ is the compact metric space of the measures on S^1.

Proof. Let us denote by h the homeomorphism in $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ whose non-wandering set consists in finitely many periodic points. For simplify the notation and clarify the argument we assume that h has exactly N different attracting/repelling fixed points (the proof in other cases follows by analogous argument). Let us denote r_j for $j = 1, \dots, N$ the repelling points of h. Since S^1 is minimal for $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1^{-1}, \dots, f_k^{-1})$ the orbit of r_j for this IFS is infinite and hence one can take $T_1, \dots, T_q \in \mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ with $q > \varepsilon^{-2}$ such that $T_i^{-1}(r_j)$ are different points in the circle. Consider R_j

neighborhood of r_j such that $T_i^{-1}(R_j)$ are pairwise disjoint arcs for $i = 1, \dots, q$ and $j = 1, \dots N$. In particular, setting

$$R = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} R_j$$

it follows that $V_i = T_i^{-1}(R)$ are pairwise disjoints open sets for $i = 1, \dots, q$. The proposition is a consequence of the two followings lemmas:

Lemma 2.3. *There exists* $T \in \{T_1, \dots, T_q\}$ *such that*

$$M\{\mu : \mu(T^{-1}(R)) \geq \varepsilon\} < \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 2.4. *There exists* $F, H \in \mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ *with the length of* $|F \circ H|$ *independent of* g *such that*

$$|g \circ F \circ H(S^1 \setminus R)| < \varepsilon.$$

Before proving the above lemmas we will conclude the proof of the proposition.

Let $G = F \circ H \circ T$ and $I = g \circ F \circ H(S^1 \setminus R)$. Notice that, by Lemma 2.4 it holds $|I| < \varepsilon$ and the length of G only depends on ε. Also, it follows that

$$\mu(G^{-1} \circ g^{-1}(I)) = \mu(T^{-1}(S^1 \setminus R)) = 1 - \mu(T^{-1}(R)) > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{if } \mu(T^{-1}(R)) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.4 it holds

$$M\{\mu : \mu(G^{-1} \circ g^{-1}(I)) > 1 - \varepsilon\} \geq M\{\mu : \mu(T^{-1}(R)) < \varepsilon\} > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Now, we will prove the above two lemmas.

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Suppose that for all $j = 1, \dots, q$ it holds that $M\{\mu : \mu(V_j) \geq \varepsilon\} \geq \varepsilon$. Hence since

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu(V_j) = \mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^{q} V_j) \leq 1$$

for each measure μ it follows that

$$\int_{\mathscr{M}(S^1)} \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu(V_j) dM \leq 1.$$

By the Chebyshev inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathscr{M}(S^1)} \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu(V_j)\, dM &= \sum_{j=1}^{q} \int_{\mathscr{M}(S^1)} \mu(V_j)\, dM \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{q} \varepsilon M\{\mu : \mu(V_j) \geq \varepsilon\} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{q} \varepsilon^2 = q\varepsilon^2 > 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, one obtains that there exists $j_1 \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ such that setting $T = T_{j_1}$,

$$M\{\mu : \mu(T^{-1}(R)) \geq \varepsilon\} = M\{\mu : \mu(V_{j_1}) \geq \varepsilon\} < \varepsilon \qquad \square$$

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Let us denote by a_j, $j = 1, \dots, N$ the attractors of h. Because of the minimality of $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$, the orbit of a_j by $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ is infinite and then one can take $T_1, \dots, T_p \in \mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ with $p > \varepsilon^{-1}$ such that $T_i(a_j)$ are different points in the circle. Consider a neighborhood V_j of a_j such that $T_i(V_j)$ are pairwise disjoint arcs for $i = 1, \dots, p$ and $j = 1, \dots N$. In particular,

$$U_i = T_i(\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} V_j) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, p$$

are pairwise disjoint open sets. If the length of the images of all U_i were not less than ε, then their total length would be at least $p\varepsilon > 1$ and hence greater than the length of the entire circle. Therefore, there is $i_1 \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ such that $|g(U_{i_1})| < \varepsilon$.

Since $h^n(S^1 \setminus R)$ converges to $\{a_1, \dots, a_N\}$ in the Hausdorff metric then there is n large enough such that $h^n(S^1 \setminus R) \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_N$. Finally, setting $H = h^n$ and $F = T_{i_1}$, since $F \circ H(S^1 \setminus R) \subset U_{i_1}$ it follows that length of the action of g on $F \circ H(S^1 \setminus R)$ is less than ε which concludes the proof of the lemma. □

This concludes the proof of the proposition. □

Now, we will prove Item (iv) in Theorem 2.1. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

Proof of Item (iv) of Theorem 2.1. Let $\xi \in \Sigma_k^+$ be a sequence with dense orbit under the Bernoulli shift map and consider an open set I in S^1. We want to see that $\mathcal{O}_\xi^+(x) \cap I \neq \emptyset$. To do this, it suffices to prove that there exists a finite word σ such that for each $z \in S^1$, there is $1 \le t = t(z) \le |\sigma|$ satisfying that $f_{\sigma_t} \circ \dots \circ f_{\sigma_1}(z) \in I$. Indeed, since ξ is a dense sequence under the Bernoulli shift map $\tau : \Sigma_k^+ \to \Sigma_k^+$, then there exists $n \ge 0$ such that $[\tau^n(\xi)]_i = \sigma_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, |\sigma|$. Then taking $z = f_\xi^n(x)$ one has that

$$f_\xi^{n+t(z)}(x) \in I$$

and concludes the proof.

Denote Ω the set of sequences in Σ_k^+ satisfying Item (ii). Notice that $\nu(\Omega) = 1$. On the other hand, Item (ii) implies that for every sequence $\omega \in \Omega$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $K(\omega, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|f_\omega^n(S^1 \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(f^-(\omega)))| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |f_\omega^n(B_\varepsilon(f^-(\omega)))| > 1 - \varepsilon \tag{2.1}$$

for all $n \ge K$. From the minimality, for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and $n \ge K$ there exists $h = h(\omega, \varepsilon, n) \in \mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ such that

$$\tilde{z} = \tilde{z}(\omega, \varepsilon, n) \overset{\text{def}}{=} h^{-1}(f^-(\omega)) \in \operatorname{int} f_\omega^n\big(S^1 \setminus B_\varepsilon(f^-(\omega))\big).$$

Now, let $q \in S^1$. By [4, Theorem 1] there exists $\Omega(q) \subset \Sigma_k$ with total ν-probability such that $S^1 = \overline{\mathcal{O}_\omega^+(q)}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega(q)$. Hence, Item (iii) implies that the set

$$\Omega \cap \Omega(q) \cap \{\omega : f^-(\omega) \neq q\}$$

has total ν-measure and thus it is not empty. Then we can take ω in the above set. Fix $0 < \varepsilon_1 < r/3$ such that $q \in S^1 \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(f^-(\omega))$ and where $r > 0$ is such that there exists $p \in I$ with $B_r(p) \subset I$. Since $\omega \in \Omega(q)$ we have that $\mathcal{O}^+_\omega(q)$ is dense in S^1. In particular, there exists ℓ_1 greater than $K_1 = K(\omega, \varepsilon_1)$ such that $f^{\ell_1}_\omega(q) \in B_{r/3}(p)$. Let $\gamma = \gamma(\varepsilon_1, \omega, \ell_1)$ be the word corresponding to the map $h = h(\varepsilon_1, \omega, \ell_1)$. Let ε_2 and V be, respectively, a positive number less than ε_1 and an open set in S^1 such that

$$\tilde{z} = \tilde{z}(\omega, \varepsilon_1, \ell_1) \in V \subset S^1 \setminus f^{\ell_1}_\omega(B_{\varepsilon_1}(f^-(\omega))) \quad \text{and} \quad h(V) = B_{\varepsilon_2}(f^-(\omega)).$$

By the density of $\mathcal{O}^+_\omega(q)$, we find ℓ_2 greater than $K_2 = K(\omega, \varepsilon_2)$ such that

$$f^{\ell_2}_\omega(q) \in B_{r/3}(p).$$

We consider the word $\sigma = \omega_1 \ldots \omega_{\ell_1} \gamma \omega_1 \ldots \omega_{\ell_2}$.

Claim 2.4.1. *For any $z \in S^1$, there exists $t \in \{\ell_1,\ \ell_1 + \ell_2 + |\gamma|\}$ such that*

$$f_{\sigma_t} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\sigma_1}(z) \in I.$$

Proof of the Claim. Indeed, if $z \in S^1 \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(f^-(\omega))$ then by Equation (2.1) and the choice of ε_1 it follows that $d(f_{\sigma_\ell} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\sigma_1}(z), f_{\sigma_\ell} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\sigma_1}(q)) < \varepsilon_1 < r/3$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_{\sigma_{\ell_1}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\sigma_1}(z), p) &\leq \\ &\leq d(f_{\sigma_{\ell_1}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\sigma_1}(z), f_{\sigma_{\ell_1}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\sigma_1}(q)) + d(f_{\sigma_{\ell_1}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\sigma_1}(q), p) \\ &< 2r/3 < r \end{aligned}$$

and thus $f_{\sigma_{\ell_1}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\sigma_1}(z) \in I$. Now, let $z \in B_{\varepsilon_1}(f^-(\omega))$. Since $V \subset S^1 \setminus f^{\ell_1}_\omega(B_{\varepsilon_1}(f^-(\omega)))$ then $f^{\ell_1}_\omega(z) \notin V$. This implies that

$$h \circ f^{\ell_1}_\omega(z) \in S^1 \setminus B_{\varepsilon_2}(f^-(\omega)).$$

Thus, by Equation (2.1) one has that $d(f^{\ell_2}_\omega \circ h \circ f^{\ell_1}_\omega(z), f^{\ell_2}(q)) < \varepsilon_2$. Again, similar as above, from the triangular inequality and since

$$f_{\sigma_t} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\sigma_1} = f^{\ell_2}_\omega \circ h \circ f^{\ell_1}_\omega \quad \text{for } t = \ell_1 + \ell_2 + |\gamma|$$

it follows that $f_{\sigma_t} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\sigma_1}(z) \in I$ and we conclude the proof of the claim. □

Now, the proof of Item (iv) is completed. □

To conclude Theorem 2.1 we are going to prove the last item of this result.

Proof of Item (v) of Theorem 2.1. We will show that S^1 is in the closure of both, the attracting and repelling periodic point of IFS$(f_1, \ldots, f_k)$. Let I be any open interval small enough. We will show there exist both, an attracting and a repelling periodic point of IFS$(f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ in I. Denote by h the homeomorphism satisfying Item (2). Taken, if necessary, a large iterated of h we can assume that all the periodic points of h are fixed points. By the finiteness of the non-wandering set of h one can find both, a (semi)attracting and a (semi)repelling fixed point a and b respectively of h such that I is in the basin of attraction of a for h and of b for h^{-1}. Since by assumption, the IFS is forward and backward minimal, there is $g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ such that $g_1(a) \in I$ and $g_2^{-1}(b) \in I$. Since g_1 and g_2 are continuous maps, there is $\delta > 0$ such that both, $g_1((a-\delta,\, a+\delta))$ and $J = g_2^{-1}((b-\delta, b+\delta))$ are contained in I. Using now that I is in the basin of attraction of a and repelling of b, there is $\ell_1, \ell_2 \geq 0$ such that $h^{\ell_1}(I) \subset (a-\delta,\, a+\delta)$ and $I \subset h^{\ell_2}(b-\delta, b+\delta)$. Then $g_1 \circ h^{\ell_1}(I) \subset I$ and

$$J \subset I \subset h^{\ell_2} \circ g_2(J).$$

By the Banach fixed point theorem, $g \circ h^{\ell}$ and $h^{\ell_2} \circ g_2$ has a (unique) attracting and repelling fixed point in I and $J \subset I$ respectively. This implies the desired density of both, attracting and repelling periodic points of $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ and thus, we conclude the proof. □

To end this section we study the minimality of almost every orbital branch in one special case: when the IFS contains a minimal homeomorphism. Notice that, therefore, the IFS is trivially minimal (in fact forward and backward minimal). The probability $\mathbb{P}$ on Σ_k^+ that we consider here is defined in terms of conditional probability as follows: there exists $p > 0$ so that for every $i = 1, \dots, k$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\omega_n = i \mid \omega_{n-1}, \dots, \omega_n) \geq p.$$

Proposition 2.5. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_k$ be homeomorphisms of a compact metric space X. Assume that $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ contains a minimal homeomorphism. Then there exists a set $\Omega \subset \Sigma_k^+$ with $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$ such that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds*

$$X = \overline{\mathcal{O}^+_\omega(x)} \quad \textit{for all } x \in X.$$

Proof. Since X is a compact metric space, there exists a countable base $\mathcal{B}$ of the topology. Let B be an open set in $\mathcal{B}$ and fix $x \in X$. We will prove that there exists $\Omega(x, B) \subset \Sigma_k^+$ having total probability such that for every $\omega \in \Omega(x, B)$,

$$f^n_\omega(x) \in B \quad \text{for some } n \geq 1. \tag{2.2}$$

From this, we conclude the proof of the proposition as follows: by the continuity of the generators, there exists an neighborhood V_x of x such that for every $\omega \in \Omega(x, B)$ and every $z \in V_x$ it holds $f^n_\omega(x) \in B$ for some $n \geq 1$. Since X is compact, there is $\Omega(B) \subset \Sigma_k^+$ with $\mathbb{P}(\Omega(B)) = 1$ such that for every $\omega \in \Omega(B)$ and every $z \in X$ it holds $f^n_\omega(x) \in B$ for some $n \geq 1$. Finally, from the countability of $\mathcal{B}$ it follows that

$$\Omega = \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \Omega(B)$$

has total probability and it holds that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\mathcal{O}^+_\omega(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition.

Now, we will prove (2.2). To prove this, firstly we provide $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the following holds. For each $\upsilon \in \{1, \dots, k\}^n$ we construct a word σ with $t = |\sigma| \leq \ell$ and such that

$$f_\omega^t \circ f_{\upsilon_n} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\upsilon_1}(x) \in B \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $h = f_{\alpha_s} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\alpha_1}$ be the minimal homeomorphism in $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$. Observe that the minimality h is equivalent to that of h^{-1}, so that

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} h^{-i} \circ (f_{\upsilon_n} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\upsilon_1})^{-1}(B) \quad \text{for some } r \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, there is $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ with $x \in h^{-i} \circ (f_{\upsilon_m} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\upsilon_1})^{-1}(B)$.

Define $\sigma = \alpha \dots \alpha$ in total i-times where $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s$. Notice that $t = |\sigma| \leq rs \overset{\text{def}}{=} \ell$ and

$$f_\omega^t \circ f_{\upsilon_n} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\upsilon_1}(x) \in B \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma.$$

This concludes (2.3).

Let $\Omega(x, B)$ be the set of sequence ω in Σ_k^+ such that there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_\omega^j(x) \in B$. Observe that

$$\Omega(x, B) \supset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega(x, B, n)$$

where

$$\Omega(x, B, n) = \{\omega : f_\omega^j(x) \in B \text{ for some } j \leq n + \ell\}$$

Equation (2.3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\Sigma_k^+ \setminus \Omega(x, B)) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\Sigma_k^+ \setminus \Omega(x, B, n)) \\ &\leq (1 - p^\ell) \cdot \mathbb{P}(\Sigma_k^+ \setminus \{\omega : f_\omega^j(x) \in B \text{ for some } j \leq n\}) \\ &\leq (1 - p^\ell)^{1 + [n/\ell]} \to 0 \quad \text{when } n \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathbb{P}(\Omega(x, B)) = 1$ which proves (2.2) and therefore concludes the proposition. □

3. FORWARD AND BACKWARD MINIMAL IFS: PROOF OF THEOREM B

Now, we will proof Theorem B.

Proof. Let g_1 and g_2 be, respectively, an irrational rotation and a homeomorphism which is not conjugate to a rotation. Then, since g_2 is not conjugate to a rotation, there exists a fixed point p of g_2 such that at least for one-side p becomes as an attracting point. We can assume that the local basin of attraction is $A = (p, p + \varepsilon)$, i.e., g_2 restricted to $\overline{A}$ is a contractive map. Since g_1 is an irrational rotation, observe that there exists a sequence of times n_k such that $h_k \overset{\text{def}}{=} g_1^{n_k} \circ g_2 \in \mathrm{IFS}(g_1, g_2)$ has a fixed point p_k in A.

Moreover, since $Dg_1 = 1$ then h_k is also a contraction in A and, we can also find a finite number of these maps $h_{k_1} \dots, h_{k_\ell}$ such that

$$\overline{A_\delta} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} h_{k_i}(A_\delta) \quad \text{where } A_\delta = (p+\delta, p+\varepsilon-\delta) \text{ for some } \delta < \varepsilon/2. \tag{3.1}$$

Observe that, again, since g_1 is a irrational rotation we can cover the whole circle by a finite number of iterations by g_2 of A_δ. That is, there exists times $m_1, \dots, m_s$ and $\tilde{m}_1, \dots, \tilde{m}_r$ such that denoting $T_i = g_1^{m_i}$ and $S_i = g_1^{\tilde{m}_i}$ in $\mathrm{IFS}(g_1, g_2)$ it holds

$$S^1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} T_i(A_\delta) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} S_i^{-1}(A_\delta). \tag{3.2}$$

The following lemma shows that every IFS of homeomorphism (in any metric space) satisfying (3.1) and (3.2) is robustly forward minimal. Compare with [7, Lemma 10.2].

Lemma 3.1 (Minimality criterion)**.** *Let $g_1, \dots, g_k$ be homeomorphisms on a complete metric space X. Assume that there exist an open set $B \subset X$, a positive number $0 < \lambda < 1$ and maps $h_1, \dots, h_n, T_1, \dots, T_m, S_1, \dots, S_r \in$ $\mathrm{IFS}(g_1, \dots, g_k)$ such that*

$$\overline{B} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} h_i(B), \tag{3.3}$$

where $d(h_i(x), h_i(y)) < \lambda\, d(x,y)$ for all $x, y \in \overline{D}$ with $B \subset D$ and

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} T_i(B) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} S_i^{-1}(B). \tag{3.4}$$

Then, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $(g_1, \dots, g_k)$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(X)^k$ such that $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ is minimal for all $(f_1, \dots, f_k) \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. We want to see that for every $\Phi = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$ close enough to $(g_1, \dots, g_k)$ in the C^0-topology, it holds that

$$S^1 = \overline{\mathrm{Orb}^+_\Phi(x)} \quad \text{for all } x \in S^1$$

where $\mathrm{Orb}^+_\Phi(x) = \{h(x) : h \in \mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)\}$. Firstly of all, observe that (3.3) and (3.4) are robust properties: there exists C^0-neighborhoods $\mathcal{V}_i$ of g_i such that for each $\Phi = (f_1, \dots, f_k) \in \mathcal{V}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{V}_k$ there are maps $\tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_\ell$, $\tilde{T}_1, \dots, \tilde{T}_s$, $\tilde{S}_1, \dots, \tilde{S}_r$ in $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ such that for this maps it holds the conditions (3.3) and (3.4).

We will now show the minimality of $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$. Fix a point $x \in S^1$ and an open set $I \subset S^1$. From Hutchinson's theory [12], the covering property (3.3) implies that

$$B \subset \overline{\mathrm{Orb}^+_\Phi(x)} \quad \text{for all } x \in B$$

(see more details in [5, Corolary 3.8]). By (3.4), we find $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that $S_i(x) \in B$. Similarly, $B \cap T_j^{-1}(I)$ contains an open set for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. Using the density of the orbits in B, one can find $h \in \mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ such that $h \circ S_i(x) \in T_j^{-1}(I)$. Thus, $T_j \circ h \circ S_i(x) \in I$. This shows $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ is minimal and completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Applying the above lemma we obtain the forward minimality. In order to show the backward minimality we have observe that we can obtain a covering property similar to (3.1) but now for the $\mathrm{IFS}(g_1^{-1}, g_2^{-1})$. Again, applying Lemma 3.1 but now for $\mathrm{IFS}(g_1^{-1}, g_2^{-1})$ we conclude the robust minimality of this system.

To conclude Theorem B, we assume that g_2 is C^r-differentiable and we apply Theorem 2.1. Observe that generically every C^r-perturbation f_2 of g_2 is a Morse-Smale diffeormorphism. From this observation, we find the open and dense set $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{U}_r = \mathcal{U} \cap (\mathrm{Diff}^r(S^1) \cap \mathrm{Diff}^r(S^1))$ such that according to Theorem 2.1, contraction and minimality of almost every orbital branches hold for every $\mathrm{IFS}(f_1, f_2)$ with $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{D}$. In particular if g_2 is Morse-Smale then shrinking $\mathcal{U}$ if necessary, every point in $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2.1 and then we can take $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{U}_r$. Now, the proof of the Theorem B is concluded. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Lorenzo J. Díaz for his assistance and review of preliminary versions of this paper and Ale Jan Homburg for his comments. During the preparation of this article the authors were partially supported by the following fellowships: P. G. Barrientos by MTM2011-22956 project (Spain) and CNPq post-doctoral fellowship (Brazil); A. Fakhari by grant from IPM. (No. 91370038). A. Fakhari and A. Sarizadeh thank ICTP for their hospitality during their visit.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Arbieto, A. Junqueira, and B. Santiago. On weakly hyperbolic iterated functions systems. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2012.

[2] M. F. Barnsley and K. Leśniak. The chaos game on a general iterated function system from a topological point of view. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2013.

[3] Michael F. Barnsley and A. Vince. The Conley Attractor of an Iterated Function System. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2012.

[4] Michael F. Barnsley and Andrew Vince. The chaos game on a general iterated function system. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 31:1073–1079, 2011.

[5] P. G. Barrientos, Y. Ki, and A. Raibekas. Symbolic blender-horseshoes and applications. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2012.

[6] P. G. Barrientos and A. Raibekas. Dynamics of iterated function systems on the circle close to rotations. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2013.

[7] J. Bochi, C. Bonatti, and L. J. Díaz. Robust Vanishing of All Lyapunov Exponents for Iterated Function Systems. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2012.

[8] E Crane. *Topics in Conformal Geometry and Dynamics*. Phd. thesis, University of Cambridge, 2003.

[9] A.S. Gorodetski and Yu.S. Ilyashenko. Certain new robust properties of invariant sets and attractors of dynamical systems. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 33:95–105, 1999.

[10] A. S. Gorodetskiĭ and Yu. S. Il′yashenko. Some properties of skew products over a horseshoe and a solenoid. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 231(Din. Sist., Avtom. i Beskon. Gruppy):96–118, 2000.

[11] A. J. Homburg. Circle diffeomorphisms forced by expanding circle maps. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 32:2011–2024, 2012.

[12] John E. Hutchinson. Fractals and self-similarity. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 30(5):713–747, 1981.

[13] Yuri Kifer. *Ergodic theory of random transformations*, volume 10 of *Progress in Probability and Statistics*. Birkhuser, Boston, Stuttgart, 1986.

[14] V. Kleptsyn and D. Volk. Skew products and random walks on the unit interval. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2011.

[15] V.A. Kleptsyn and M.B. Nalskii. Contraction of orbits in random dynamical systems on the circle. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 38:267–282, 2004.

Departamento de Matemática PUC-Rio
Marquês de So Vicente 225, Gávea, Rio de Janeiro 225453-900, Brazil
E-mail address: `barrientos@mat.puc-rio.br`

School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Damghan University,
P.O.Box: 36715.364, Damghan, Iran., &
School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM),
P. O. Box 19395-5746,Tehran, Iran.
E-mail address: `fakhari@du.ac.ir`

Department of Mathematics, Ilam University,
Iran.
E-mail address: `a.sarizadeh@mail.ilam.ac.ir`